



# **Fundamental Symmetries**

#### Emilie Passemar\* Indiana University/Jefferson Laboratory

National Nuclear Physics Summer School (NNPSS2021)

UNAM, Mexico & IU, Bloomington, June 21, 2021

\*Supported by NSF

- 1. Introduction and Motivation
- 2. The Standard Model
- 3. Selected examples
  - 1.  $\eta \to 3\pi\,$  and light quark mass ratio
  - 2. Anomalous magnetic moment of the muon
  - 3. Axial form factor of the nucleon and neutrino physics
- 4. Conclusion and outlook

# 1. Introduction and Motivation

# 1.1 The Standard Model

- Particle and Nuclear Physics
  - extract fundamental parameters of Nature on the smallest scale
  - test our understanding of Laws of Nature

## 1.1 Precise test of the Standard Model

- Particle and Nuclear Physics
  - extract fundamental parameters of Nature at Quantum Level
  - test our understanding of Laws of Nature
- In Chemistry our knowledge summarized by Mendeleev table of chemical elements



#### 1.1 The Standard Model

- Particle and Nuclear Physics
  - extract fundamental parameters of Nature at Quantum Level
  - test our understanding of Laws of Nature
- In particle physics a simpler table made of leptons and quarks





# 1.1 The Standard Model

 In particle physics a simpler table made of leptons and quarks: the degrees of freedom



• 3 forces: electromagnetic, weak and strong forces

#### Governed by gauge symmetry principle



CFormilab 55-759





### Yukawa interaction (matter-Higgs)



Massive fermions after EWSB

The mediators of weak interaction (W, Z) become massive through the Higgs Mechanism  $\implies$  one scalar particle remains in the spectrum: H

**Emilie Passemar** 

# 1.2 Challenges



- Searching physics beyond the Standard Model:
  - Are there new forces besides the 3 gauge groups?
  - Are there new particles?
  - A more profound understanding of the origin of this table?
  - Origin of matter/anti-matter asymmetry
  - Origin of dark matter
- One type of new physics already discovered: neutrino masses

# 1.2 Challenges



- Searching physics beyond the Standard Model:
  - Are there new forces besides the 3 gauge group?
  - Are there new particles?
  - A more profound understanding of the origin of this table?
  - Origin of matter/anti-matter asymmetry
  - Origin of dark matter
- One type of new physics already discovered: neutrino masses



In this quest it is essential to have a *robust understanding* of *Hadronic Physics*

# 1.2 Challenges



- Searching physics beyond the Standard Model:
  - Are there new forces besides the 3 gauge group?
  - Are there new particles?
  - A more profound understanding of the origin of this table?
  - Origin of matter/anti-matter asymmetry
  - Origin of dark matter
- One type of new physics already discovered: neutrino masses



- In this quest it is essential to have a robust understanding of Hadronic Physics
  - This is true for quarks and leptons and even for neutrinos!

#### 2. The Standard Model

See A. Pich, 1201.0537 Halzen & Martin, Quarks & Leptons

## 2.1 Introduction

 In particle physics a simpler table made of leptons and quarks: the degrees of freedom



• 3 forces: electromagnetic, weak and strong forces

# 2.2 Electromagnetic Interactions

# 2.2 Electromagnetic Interactions: Introduction

In particle physics a simpler table made of leptons and quarks: the degrees of freedom



• 3 forces: electromagnetic, weak and strong forces

• Lagrangian describing a free Dirac fermion:

 $\mathcal{L}_0 = i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$ 

• Lagrangian describing a free Dirac fermion:

 $\mathcal{L}_{0} = i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$ 

•  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is invariant under *global* U(1) transformations  $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) \equiv \exp(iQ\theta)\psi(x)$  with  $Q\theta$  is an arbitrary real constant

• Lagrangian describing a free Dirac fermion:

 $\mathcal{L}_{0} = i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$ 

- $\mathcal{L}_0$  is invariant under *global* U(1) transformations  $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) \equiv \exp(iQ\theta)\psi(x)$  with  $Q\theta$  is an arbitrary real constant
- Gauge principle: global U(1) transformations  $\rightarrow local$ , i.e., space-time dependent  $\theta \rightarrow \theta(x)$

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) \xrightarrow{U(1)} \exp\left\{iQ\theta\right\} \left(\partial_{\mu}+iQ\,\partial_{\mu}\theta\right)\,\psi(x)$$

•  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is no longer invariant !

• Lagrangian describing a free Dirac fermion:

 $\mathcal{L}_{0} = i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$ 

- $\mathcal{L}_0$  is invariant under *global* U(1) transformations  $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) \equiv \exp(iQ\theta)\psi(x)$  with  $Q\theta$  is an arbitrary real constant
- Gauge principle: global U(1) transformations  $\rightarrow local$ , i.e., space-time dependent  $\theta \rightarrow \theta(x)$

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) \xrightarrow{U(1)} \exp\{iQ\theta\} \ (\partial_{\mu} + iQ\,\partial_{\mu}\theta) \ \psi(x)$$

•  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is no longer invariant !  $\square$  Add an extra piece to the Lagrangian

• Lagrangian describing a free Dirac fermion:

 $\mathcal{L}_{0} = i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$ 

- $\mathcal{L}_0$  is invariant under *global* U(1) transformations  $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) \equiv \exp(iQ\theta)\psi(x)$  with  $Q\theta$  is an arbitrary real constant
- Gauge principle: global U(1) transformations  $\rightarrow$  *local*, i.e., space-time dependent  $\theta \rightarrow \theta(x)$  $\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) \xrightarrow{U(1)} \exp\{iQ\theta\} \ (\partial_{\mu} + iQ \partial_{\mu}\theta) \ \psi(x)$
- $\mathcal{L}_0$  is no longer invariant !  $\longrightarrow$  Add an extra piece to the Lagrangian Introduce a new spin-1 (since  $\partial_{\mu}\theta$  has a Lorentz index) field  $A_{\mu}(x)$  :

$$A_{\mu}(x) \xrightarrow{U(1)} A'_{\mu}(x) \equiv A_{\mu}(x) - \frac{1}{e} \partial_{\mu} \theta$$

**Emilie Passemar** 

• We define a covariant derivative

$$\partial_{\mu} \psi(x) \xrightarrow{U(1)} D_{\mu} \psi(x) \equiv \left[ \partial_{\mu} + ieQA_{\mu} \right] \psi(x)$$

which transforms as  $\psi(x)$  itself

• We define a covariant derivative

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) \xrightarrow{U(1)} D_{\mu}\psi(x) \equiv \left[\partial_{\mu} + ieQA_{\mu}\right]\psi(x)$$

which transforms as  $\psi(x)$  itself

• The Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} \to \mathcal{L} \equiv i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$$

• We define a covariant derivative

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) \xrightarrow{U(1)} D_{\mu}\psi(x) \equiv \left[\partial_{\mu} + ieQA_{\mu}\right]\psi(x)$$

which transforms as  $\psi(x)$  itself

• The Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} \to \mathcal{L} \equiv i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$$
$$= i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x) - eQA_{\mu}\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)$$
$$= \mathcal{L}_{0} - eQA_{\mu}\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)$$

• Gauge principle has generated an interaction between the Dirac fermions and the gauge field  $A_{\mu}$ : the photon  $\implies QED$ 

#### **Quantum Electrodynamics**

• We define a covariant derivative

$$\partial_{\mu} \psi(x) \xrightarrow{U(1)} D_{\mu} \psi(x) \equiv \left[ \partial_{\mu} + ieQA_{\mu} \right] \psi(x)$$

which transforms as  $\psi(x)$  itself

• The Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} \to \mathcal{L} \equiv i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$$
$$= i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x) - eQA_{\mu}\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)$$
$$= \mathcal{L}_{0} - eQA_{\mu}\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)$$

Gauge principle has generated an interaction between the Dirac fermions and the gauge field A<sub>µ</sub>: the photon QED

$$\mathcal{L}_{QED} = i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Kinetic term for } A_{\mu}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Kinetic term for } A_{\mu}}$$

**Emilie Passemar** 

## **Quantum Electrodynamics**

$$\mathcal{L}_{QED} = i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x)$$

• The quantum number associated to QED is the electric charge Q which is conserved according to Noether Theorem and U(1) invariance

## **Quantum Electrodynamics**

$$\mathcal{L}_{QED} = i\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi(x) - m\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x)$$

• The quantum number associated to QED is the electric charge Q which is conserved according to Noether Theorem and U(1) invariance

• NB: A mass term for 
$$A_{\mu}$$
:  $\mathcal{L}_{m} = \frac{1}{2}m^{2}A_{\mu}(x)A^{\mu}(x)$ 

is forbidden because it would violate the local U(1) gauge invariance  $\implies$  A<sub>u</sub> is predicted to be massless.

Experimentally,  $m_{\gamma} < 1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ eV}$  Ryutov'07 PDG'21



g was predicted by Dirac to be 2







QED

$$a_l \equiv (g_l^{\gamma} - 2)/2$$
 with  $\vec{\mu}_l \equiv g_l^{\gamma} \left( e/2m_l \right) \vec{S}_l$ 

• Experimentally  $a_e = (1\ 159\ 652\ 180.73 \pm 0.28) \cdot 10^{-12}$ 

Hanneke, Fogwell Hoogerheide, Gabrielse'11

and 
$$a_{\mu} = (11\ 659\ 206.1\pm 4.1)\cdot 10^{-10}$$

E821, BNL'04 + Muon g-2, FNAL'21

• These are incredible levels of precision !

#### Anomalous magnetic moments

• To a measurable level,  $a_e$  arises entirely from *virtual electrons* and *photons* fully known to  $O(\alpha^4)$  and many  $O(\alpha^5)$  corrections computed <sup>(a)</sup>



**Emilie Passemar** 

(b)

γ,Ζ

#### Anomalous magnetic moments

To a measurable level, a<sub>e</sub> arises entirely from *virtual electrons* and *photons* fully known to O(α<sup>4</sup>) and many O(α<sup>5</sup>) corrections computed

$$a_e^{\text{theo}} = (1\ 159\ 652\ 181.643 \pm 0.764) \cdot 10^{-12}$$

Kinoshita & Nio, Aoyama et al.'03-12, Passera'05,'07, Laporta'93, Kataev'06, Kurz et al.'13, etc

- The theoretical error dominated by uncertainty on  $\alpha_{QED} \equiv e^2/(4\pi)$
- Turning things around,  $a_e$  provides the most accurate determination of  $\alpha_{QED}$

 $\Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = 137.035\ 999\ 084\ \pm\ 0.000\ 000\ 051$ 





#### 2.3 Electroweak Interactions

## Weak Interactions: Introduction

 In particle physics a simpler table made of leptons and quarks: the degrees of freedom



• 3 forces: electromagnetic, weak and strong forces

### **Electroweak Interactions: Charged Currents**

Experimentally: weak interaction exhibits interesting characteristics:

- **Charged Currents:** The interaction of quarks and leptons with the W<sup>±</sup> bosons:
  - W couples only to *left-handed fermions* and *right-handed antifermions* 
    - Parity (P: left  $\leftrightarrow$  right)
    - $\implies$  Charge conjugation (C: particle  $\leftrightarrow$  antiparticle) *not conserved*

But *CP* is still a *good symmetry*.




## **Electroweak Interactions: Charged Currents**

Experimentally: electroweak interaction exhibits interesting characteristics:

- **Charged Currents:** The interaction of quarks and leptons with the W<sup>±</sup> bosons:
  - W couples only to *left-handed fermions* and *right-handed antifermions*
    - Parity (P: left  $\leftrightarrow$  right)
    - $\implies$  Charge conjugation (C: particle  $\leftrightarrow$  antiparticle) *not conserved*

But *CP* is still a *good symmetry*.





 W couples only to fermionic doublets with g : universal coupling

 $\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_l\\l^-\end{array}\right)_L,\quad \left(\begin{array}{c}q_u\\q_d\end{array}\right)_L$ 

#### **Electroweak Interactions: Charged Currents**

Experimentally: electroweak interaction exhibits interesting characteristics:

 The doublet partners of the up, charm and top quarks appear to be mixtures of the three quarks with charge – 1/3

→ the weak eigenstates are different than the mass eigenstates:



#### **Electroweak Interactions: Neutral Currents**

Experimentally: electroweak interaction exhibits interesting characteristics:

- **Neutral Currents:** The interaction of quarks and leptons with the Z boson: or phtoton
  - All interacting vertices are flavour conserving.



- The interactions depend on the fermion electric charge  $Q_f$  for em interactions Neutrinos do not have electromagnetic interactions ( $Q_v = 0$ ), but they have a non-zero coupling to the Z boson.
  - The Z couplings are different for left-handed and right-handed fermions.
     The neutrino coupling to the Z involves only left-handed chiralities.
  - There are three different light neutrino species.

- Theory should give:
  - different properties for left- and right-handed fields;
  - left-handed fermions should appear in doublets
  - massive gauge bosons  $W^{\pm}$  and Z in addition to the photon.

• Gauge group: 
$$G \equiv SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$$
 wit

with L for left-handed fermion

• Degrees of freedom:

$$\psi_1(x) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} v_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad \psi_2(x) \equiv u_R \text{ or } v_{eR}, \quad \psi_3(x) \equiv d_R \text{ or } e_R^-$$

• The free Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = i\overline{u}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}u(x) + i\overline{d}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}d(x) = i\sum_{j=1}^{3}\overline{\psi}_{j}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi_{j}$$

•  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is invariant under *global* G transformations

- Gauge principle: global G transformations  $\rightarrow$  *local:*  $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(x)$  and  $\beta = \beta(x)$
- For  $\mathcal{L}$  to be invariant introduction of covariant derivatives:

$$D_{\mu}\psi_{1}(x) \equiv \left[\partial_{\mu} + i g \widetilde{W}_{\mu}(x) + i g' y_{1} B_{\mu}(x)\right] \psi_{1}(x),$$
  

$$D_{\mu}\psi_{2}(x) \equiv \left[\partial_{\mu} + i g' y_{2} B_{\mu}(x)\right] \psi_{2}(x),$$
  

$$D_{\mu}\psi_{3}(x) \equiv \left[\partial_{\mu} + i g' y_{3} B_{\mu}(x)\right] \psi_{3}(x),$$

with 4 gauge fields:  $\widetilde{W}_{\mu}(x) \equiv \frac{\sigma_i}{2} W^i_{\mu}(x)$  and  $\mathsf{B}_{\mu}(x)$  corresponding to W<sup>+/-</sup>, Z and  $\gamma$ 

**Emilie Passemar** 

- The covariant derivative transforms as the field itself dictating the transf. properties of  $W_{\mu}\left(x\right)$  and  $B_{\mu}(x)$ 

$$B_{\mu}(x) \xrightarrow{G} B'_{\mu}(x) \equiv B_{\mu}(x) - \frac{1}{g'} \partial_{\mu}\beta(x),$$
  
$$\widetilde{W}_{\mu} \xrightarrow{G} \widetilde{W}'_{\mu} \equiv U_{L}(x) \widetilde{W}_{\mu} U_{L}^{\dagger}(x) + \frac{i}{g} \partial_{\mu}U_{L}(x) U_{L}^{\dagger}(x)$$

• The EW Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{EW} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} i \overline{\psi}_{j}(x) \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi_{j}(x) - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_{i}$$

- The covariant derivative transforms as the field itself dictating the transf. properties of  $W_{\mu}(x)$  and  $B_{\mu}(x)$ 

$$B_{\mu}(x) \xrightarrow{G} B'_{\mu}(x) \equiv B_{\mu}(x) - \frac{1}{g'} \partial_{\mu}\beta(x),$$
  
$$\widetilde{W}_{\mu} \xrightarrow{G} \widetilde{W}'_{\mu} \equiv U_{L}(x) \widetilde{W}_{\mu} U_{L}^{\dagger}(x) + \frac{i}{g} \partial_{\mu}U_{L}(x) U_{L}^{\dagger}(x)$$

• The EW Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{EW} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} i \overline{\psi}_{j}(x) \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi_{j}(x) - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_{i}$$

• At the moment the Lagrangian describes interactions between massless fermions and gauge bosons

# **Charged Current Interactions**

 $\overline{}$ 

$$\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow -g \,\overline{\psi}_1 \gamma^{\mu} \widetilde{W}_{\mu} \psi_1 - g' B_{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j \,\overline{\psi}_j \gamma^{\mu} \psi_j$$

/

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



Charged Current Interactions

 $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{u}}$ 

**Emilie Passemar** 

 $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{d}}$ 

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow (-g \overline{\psi}_{1} \gamma^{\mu} \widetilde{W}_{\mu} \psi_{1}) - g' B_{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j} \overline{\psi}_{j} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{j}$$

$$3^{rd} \text{ component } \mathsf{NC}$$

• Neutral Current Interactions Identify  $W_{\mu 3}$  and  $B_{\mu}$ . with the Z and the  $\gamma$ . But  $B_{\mu}$  cannot be equal to  $\gamma$ .  $y_1 = y_2 = y_3$  and g'  $y_j = eQ_j$ , *cannot* be simultaneously true

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} W_{\mu}^{3} \\ B_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{W} & \sin \theta_{W} \\ -\sin \theta_{W} & \cos \theta_{W} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\mu} \\ A_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NC} = -\sum_{j} \overline{\psi}_{j} \gamma^{\mu} \left\{ A_{\mu} \left[ g \frac{\sigma_{3}}{2} \sin \theta_{W} + g' y_{j} \cos \theta_{W} \right] + Z_{\mu} \left[ g \frac{\sigma_{3}}{2} \cos \theta_{W} - g' y_{j} \sin \theta_{W} \right] \right\} \psi_{j}$$

To get QED from the  $A_{\mu}$  piece, one needs to impose the conditions:

 $g \sin \theta_W = g' \cos \theta_W = e$  and  $Y = Q - T_3$ 

**Emilie Passemar** 

#### **Neutral Current Interactions**

$$\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow (-g \overline{\psi}_1 \gamma^{\mu} \widetilde{W}_{\mu} \psi_1) - g' B_{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^3 y_j \overline{\psi}_j \gamma^{\mu} \psi_j)$$
  
3<sup>rd</sup> component NC

/

• Neutral Current Interaction  $\mathcal{L}_{NC} = \mathcal{L}_{QED} + \mathcal{L}_{NC}^{Z}$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{QED}} \,=\, -e \, A_{\mu} \, \sum_{i} \, \overline{\psi}_{j} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{j} \psi_{j}$$

and



$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NC}^{Z} = -\frac{e}{2\sin\theta_{W}\cos\theta_{W}} Z_{\mu} \sum_{f} f \gamma^{\mu} (v_{f} - a_{f}\gamma_{5}) f$$



**Emilie Passemar** 

### Mass generation: electroweak symmetry breaking

- As we have seen introducing a mass terms for the fermions and the gauge bosons *breaks* gauge symmetry and  $\mathcal{L}$  is no longer invariant
- However in nature the gauge bosons as well as the fermions are massive:
   Dilemma: *break* the gauge symmetry while having a *fully symmetric* Lagrangian to preserve renormalizability

## Mass generation: electroweak symmetry breaking

- As we have seen introducing a mass terms for the fermions and the gauge bosons *breaks* gauge symmetry and  $\mathcal{L}$  is no longer invariant
- However in nature the gauge bosons as well as the fermions are massive:
   Dilemma: *break* the gauge symmetry while having a *fully symmetric* Lagrangian to preserve renormalizability
  - Obtained through Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking
    - ${\cal L}$  is invariant under G but the ground state or vacuum is no longer invariant

• 
$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi)$$
 with  $V(\phi) = \mu^{2} \phi^{\dagger} \phi + h \left( \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right)^{2}$ 

- $\mathcal{L}$  is invariant under global phase transformations U(1) of the scalar field  $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x) \equiv \exp(i\theta)\phi(x)$
- In order to have a ground state the potential should be bounded from below, i.e., h > 0. 2 possibilities:



- $\mathcal{L}$  is invariant under global phase transformations U(1) of the scalar field  $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x) \equiv \exp(i\theta)\phi(x)$
- In order to have a ground state the potential should be bounded from below,
   i.e., h > 0.





 $\mu^2 > 0$ : The potential has only the trivial minimum  $\phi = 0$ .  $\implies$  A massive scalar particle with mass  $\mu$  and quartic coupling h.

# Spontaneous symmetry breaking

- Due to U(1) invariance of  $\phi_0(x) = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \exp{\{i\theta\}}$ .
- By choosing a particular direction:  $\theta = 0$  as the ground state  $\implies$  the symmetry gets *spontaneously broken*.

• 
$$\phi(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ v + \varphi_1(x) \right] \exp(i\varphi_2(x) / v)$$

φ<sub>2</sub> excitations around a flat direction in the potential
 ⇒ states with the same energy as the chosen ground state.
 Those excitations do not cost any energy ⇒ correspond to massless states



$$V(\phi) = V(\phi_0) + \frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi_1}^2\varphi_1^2 + hv\varphi_1^3 + h\varphi_1^4$$
$$m_{\varphi_1}^2 = -2\mu^2 > 0, \quad m_{\varphi_2}^2 = 0$$

1 massless Goldstone Boson

• We introduce a  $SU(2)_L$  doublet of complex scalar fields:

$$\phi(x) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{(+)}(x) \\ \phi^{(0)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad y_{\phi} = Q_{\phi} - T_3 = \frac{1}{2}$$

• 
$$\mathcal{L}_S = (D_\mu \phi)^{\dagger} D^\mu \phi - \mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi - h \left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right)^2$$
 is invariant under  $\mathbf{G} \equiv SU(2)_A$ 

$$D^{\mu}\phi = \left[\partial^{\mu} + i\,g\,\widetilde{W}^{\mu} + i\,g'\,y_{\phi}\,B^{\mu}\right]\phi\,,$$

- Degenerate Vacuum States:  $|\langle 0|\phi^{(0)}|0\rangle| = \sqrt{\frac{-\mu^2}{2h}} \equiv \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$
- Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking:

$$\phi(x) = \exp\left\{i\frac{\sigma_i}{2}\theta^i(x)\right\}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}0\\v+H(x)\end{array}\right)$$

4 real fields  $\theta^{i}(x) + H(x)$ 

 $\otimes U$ 

• Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking:

$$\phi(x) = \exp\left\{i\frac{\sigma_i}{2}\theta^i(x)\right\}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}0\\v+H(x)\end{array}\right)$$

4 real fields  $\theta^{i}(x) + H(x)$ 

- $SU(2)_L$  invariance  $\implies \theta^i(x)$  can be gauged away
- 3 massless Goldstone bosons that are « eaten » to give masses to W<sup>+/-</sup> and Z

$$\left[D_{\mu}\phi\right)^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\phi \quad \stackrel{\theta^{i}=0}{\longrightarrow} \quad \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}H\,\partial^{\mu}H + (v+H)^{2}\,\left\{\frac{g^{2}}{4}\,W_{\mu}^{\dagger}W^{\mu} + \frac{g^{2}}{8\cos^{2}\theta_{W}}\,Z_{\mu}Z^{\mu}\right\}$$



$$M_Z \,\cos\theta_W \,=\, M_W \,=\, \frac{1}{2} \,v \,g$$



- Before SSB:
  - 3 massless  $W^{\pm}$  and Z bosons, i.e., 3 × 2 = 6 d.o.f fields
  - 3 Goldstones  $\theta^{i}(x)$
  - H(x)

3 GBs « eaten » to give masses to W<sup>+/-</sup> and Z

- After SSB:
  - 3 massives  $W^{\pm}$  and Z bosons, i.e., 3 × 3 = 9 d.o.f fields
  - H(x)
- Higgs field remains in the spectrum



- Before SSB:
  - 3 massless  $W^{\pm}$  and Z bosons, i.e., 3 × 2 = 6 d.o.f fields
  - 3 Goldstones  $\theta^{i}(x)$
  - H(x)

3 GBs « eaten » to give masses to W<sup>+/-</sup> and Z

- After SSB:
  - 3 massives  $W^{\pm}$  and Z bosons, i.e., 3 × 3 = 9 d.o.f fields
  - H(x)
- Higgs field remains in the spectrum

# Higgs field

• Discovery of a 125 GeV scalar particle at LHC on July 4, 2012: Missing piece of the Standard Model



 $\begin{array}{c|c} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & &$ 

• Yukawa Lagrangian:



# Standard Model Lagrangian



# **Application of EW interactions**

- Study of the process:  $V_e + e^- \rightarrow V_e + e^-$
- Can it go through strong, EM, weak interactions?
- How many Feynman diagrams at tree level?

# **Application of EW interactions**

- Study of the process:  $V_e + e^- \rightarrow V_e + e^-$
- Involve leptons only  $\implies$  no strong interaction
- The neutrinos are electrically neutral 
   no EM interaction

   Only Weak interactions !
- How many Feynman diagrams?

# **Application of EW interactions**

• Study of the process:  $V_e + e^- \rightarrow V_e + e^-$ 

- Involve leptons only  $\implies$  no strong interaction ٠
- The neutrinos are electrically neutral  $\implies$  no EM interaction • , Only Weak interactions !
- How many Feynman diagrams? •



# 2.4 Strong Interactions

# Introduction

 In particle physics a simpler table made of leptons and quarks: the degrees of freedom



• 3 forces: electromagnetic, weak and strong forces

### Quark masses

• Let us consider the proton: it is not a fundamental particle, but a bound state of 3 quarks



Contrary to naïve expectation, most of its mass comes from *strong force* 

Only 1% of its mass comes from the quark masses (Coupling of the quarks to the Higgs boson)

### Quark masses

• Let us consider the proton: it is not a fundamental particle, but a bound state of 3 quarks



Contrary to naïve expectation, most of its mass comes from *strong force* 

Only 1% of its mass comes from the quark masses (Coupling of the quarks to the Higgs boson)

How can we access the quark masses?

# Strong interaction

Problem: quarks and gluons are not free particles: they are bound inside hadrons



# Strong interaction

• Problem: quarks and gluons are not free particles: they are bound inside hadrons



- Two properties:
  - Confinement
  - Asymptotic freedom : The interaction decreases at high energies Nobel Prize in 2004 for Frank Wilczek and David Gross and David Politzer

### Quark masses

• Let us consider the proton: it is not a fundamental particle, but a bound state of 3 quarks



Contrary to naïve expectation, most of its mass comes from *strong force* 

Only 1% of its mass comes from the quark masses (Coupling of the quarks to the Higgs boson)

- How can we access the quark masses?
- In principle a theory 
  Quantum ChromoDynamics

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a + \sum_{k=1}^{N_F} \overline{q}_k \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - \boldsymbol{m}_k \right) q_k$$

#### Formulation of QCD

• SU(3)<sub>C</sub> QCD invariant Lagrangian

$$\implies \mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a + \sum_{k=1}^{N_F} \overline{q}_k \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_k \right) q_k$$

• Different parts to describe the interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) \Big( \partial_{\mu} G_{\nu}^{a} - \partial_{\nu} G_{\mu}^{a} \Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \Big( i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{k} \Big) q_{k} \\ + g_{S} G_{a}^{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \gamma_{\mu} \Big( \frac{\lambda_{a}}{2} \Big) q_{k} \\ - \frac{g_{S}}{2} f^{abc} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) G_{\mu}^{b} G_{\nu}^{c} - \frac{g_{S}^{2}}{4} f^{abc} f_{ade} G_{b}^{\mu} G_{c}^{\nu} G_{\mu}^{d} G_{\nu}^{e} \Big)$$

#### Formulation of QCD

• SU(3)<sub>C</sub> QCD invariant Lagrangian

$$\implies \mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a + \sum_{k=1}^{N_F} \overline{q}_k \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_k \right) q_k$$

• Different parts to describe the interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) \Big( \partial_{\mu} G_{\nu}^{a} - \partial_{\nu} G_{\mu}^{a} \Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \Big( i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{k} \Big) q_{k}$$

$$+ g_{S} G_{a}^{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \gamma_{\mu} \Big( \frac{\lambda_{a}}{2} \Big) q_{k}$$

$$- \frac{g_{S}}{2} f^{abc} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) G_{\mu}^{b} G_{\nu}^{c} - \frac{g_{S}^{2}}{4} f^{abc} f_{ade} G_{b}^{\mu} G_{c}^{\nu} G_{\mu}^{d} G_{\nu}^{e}$$

#### Formulation of QCD

• SU(3)<sub>C</sub> QCD invariant Lagrangian

$$\implies \mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a + \sum_{k=1}^{N_F} \overline{q}_k \left( i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_k \right) q_k$$

• Different parts to describe the interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) \Big( \partial_{\mu} G_{\nu}^{a} - \partial_{\nu} G_{\mu}^{a} \Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \Big( i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{k} \Big) q_{k}$$

$$+ g_{S} G_{a}^{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \gamma_{\mu} \Big( \frac{\lambda_{a}}{2} \Big) q_{k} \Longrightarrow \qquad \text{Interaction quarks}$$

$$g \| u o n$$

$$- \frac{g_{S}}{2} f^{abc} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) G_{\mu}^{b} G_{\nu}^{c} - \frac{g_{S}^{2}}{4} f^{abc} f_{ade} G_{b}^{\mu} G_{\nu}^{\nu} G_{\mu}^{d} G_{\nu}^{e}$$
### Formulation of QCD

• Different parts to describe the interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) \Big( \partial_{\mu} G_{v}^{a} - \partial_{v} G_{\mu}^{a} \Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \Big( i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{k} \Big) q_{k} \\ + g_{S} G_{a}^{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \gamma_{\mu} \Big( \frac{\lambda_{a}}{2} \Big) q_{k} \\ - \frac{g_{S}}{2} f^{abc} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{v} - \partial^{v} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) G_{\mu}^{b} G_{v}^{c} - \frac{g_{S}^{2}}{4} f^{abc} f_{ade} G_{b}^{\mu} G_{v}^{v} G_{\mu}^{d} G_{v}^{e} \\ - \frac{g_{S}}{2} f^{abc} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{v} - \partial^{v} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) G_{\mu}^{b} G_{v}^{c} - \frac{g_{S}^{2}}{4} f^{abc} f_{ade} G_{b}^{\mu} G_{v}^{v} G_{\mu}^{d} G_{v}^{e} \\ - \frac{g_{S}}{2} f^{abc} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{v} - \partial^{v} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) G_{\mu}^{b} G_{v}^{c} - \frac{g_{S}^{2}}{4} f^{abc} f_{ade} G_{b}^{\mu} G_{v}^{v} G_{\mu}^{d} G_{v}^{e} \\ - \frac{g_{S}}{4} f^{abc} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0$$

### Formulation of QCD

• SU(3)<sub>C</sub> QCD invariant Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a + \sum_{k=1}^{N_F} \overline{q}_k \left( i\gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_k \right) q_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) \Big( \partial_{\mu} G_{\nu}^{a} - \partial_{\nu} G_{\mu}^{a} \Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \Big( i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m_{k} \Big) q_{k} \\ + g_{s} G_{a}^{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{F}} \overline{q}_{k} \gamma_{\mu} \Big( \frac{\lambda_{a}}{2} \Big) q_{k} \\ - \frac{g_{s}}{2} f^{abc} \Big( \partial^{\mu} G_{a}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} G_{a}^{\mu} \Big) G_{\mu}^{b} G_{\nu}^{c} - \frac{g_{s}^{2}}{4} f^{abc} f_{ade} G_{b}^{\mu} G_{c}^{\nu} G_{\mu}^{d} G_{\nu}^{e} \\ > \text{ One single universal coupling : } \alpha_{s}(\mu) = \frac{g_{s}^{2}(\mu)}{4\pi} \text{ strong coupling constan}$$

**A** 7

It is not a constant, depends on the energy !





Asymptotic freedom

 Looking for new physics in hadronic processes 
 not direct access to quarks due to confinement



 Looking for new physics in hadronic processes 

not direct access to quarks due to confinement

PDG'12



# Lattice QCD

- Principle: Discretization of the space time and solve QCD on the lattice numerically
  - All quark and gluon fields of QCD on a 4D-lattice
  - Field configurations by Monte Carlo sampling

 Important subtleties due to the discretization, should come back to the continuum, formulation of the fermions on the lattice...



 Looking for new physics in hadronic processes 

not direct access to quarks due to confinement





• Strong force: If  $m_u \sim m_d$ :  $M_n \sim M_p$  isospin symmetry

```
Heisenberg'60
```

Countless experiments have shown that strong force obeys isospin symmetry Results are the same if we interchange neutrons and protons (or up and down quarks)



• Strong force: If  $m_u \sim m_d$ :  $M_n \sim M_p$  isospin symmetry

Heisenberg'60

Countless experiments have shown that strong force obeys isospin symmetry Results are the same if we interchange neutrons and protons (or up and down quarks)



• Strong force: If  $m_u \sim m_d$ :  $M_n \sim M_p$  isospin symmetry Heisenberg'60

Countless experiments have shown that strong force obeys isospin symmetry Results are the same if we interchange neutrons and protons

• Electromagnetic energy: one obvious difference between a neutron and a proton is their electric charges:

$$Q_p = 1$$
 and  $Q_n = 0$  Since  $E_e \propto \frac{Q^2}{R}$   $\longrightarrow$   $M_p > M_n$  ?



• Strong force: If  $m_u \sim m_d$ :  $M_n \sim M_p$  isospin symmetry Heisenberg'60

Countless experiments have shown that strong force obeys isospin symmetry Results are the same if we interchange neutrons and protons

• Electromagnetic energy: one obvious difference between a neutron and a proton is their electric charges:

$$Q_p = 1$$
 and  $Q_n = 0$  Since  $E_e \propto \frac{Q^2}{R}$   $\longrightarrow$   $M_p > M_n$  ?

Terrible consequences : Proton would decay into neutrons and there will be no chemistry and we would not be there in this room!



- Strong force: If m<sub>u</sub>~ m<sub>d</sub>: M<sub>n</sub> ~ M<sub>p</sub> isospin symmetry Heisenberg'60
- Electromagnetic energy:  $M_p > M_n$
- This is not the case: Why?





- Strong force: If m<sub>u</sub>~ m<sub>d</sub>: M<sub>n</sub> ~ M<sub>p</sub> isospin symmetry Heisenberg'60
- Electromagnetic energy:  $M_p > M_n$
- This is not the case: Why?
- Another small effect in addition to e.m. force:

different fundamental quark masses Different coupling to Higgs field

$$m_{d} \neq m_{u}$$





### QUARKS

The *u*-, *d*-, and *s*-quark masses are estimates of so-called "currentquark masses," in a mass-independent subtraction scheme such as  $\overline{\rm MS}$  at a scale  $\mu\approx 2$  GeV. The *c*- and *b*-quark masses are the "running" masses in the  $\overline{\rm MS}$  scheme. For the *b*-quark we also quote the 1S mass. These can be different from the heavy quark masses obtained in potential models.

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$

 $m_u = 2.2^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \text{ MeV}$  $m_u/m_d = 0.48^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ 

Charge 
$$= \frac{2}{3} e$$
  $I_z = +\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$m_d - m_u = 4.7 - 2.2 = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$$

Quark mass difference more important than e.m. effect

Neutrons can decay in protons!

 $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ 

$$m_d = 4.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3} \text{ MeV}$$
 Charge  $= -\frac{1}{3} e$   $I_z = -\frac{1}{2}$   
 $m_s/m_d = 17-22$   
 $\overline{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2 = 3.5^{+0.5}_{-0.2} \text{ MeV}$ 



### **QUARKS**

The *u*-, *d*-, and *s*-quark masses are estimates of so-called "currentquark masses," in a mass-independent subtraction scheme such as  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  at a scale  $\mu \approx 2$  GeV. The *c*- and *b*-quark masses are the "running" masses in the  $\overline{MS}$  scheme. For the *b*-quark we also quote the 1S mass. These can be different from the heavy quark masses obtained in potential models.

$$m_u = 2.2^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \text{ MeV}$$
  
 $m_u/m_d = 0.48^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ 

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$

$$= 2.2_{-0.4}^{+0.03} \text{ MeV} \qquad 0.48_{-0.08}^{+0.07}$$

harge 
$$= \frac{2}{3} e$$
  $I_z = +\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ 

$$m_d = 4.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3} \text{ MeV}$$
 Charge  $= -\frac{1}{3} e$   $I_z = -\frac{1}{2}$   
 $m_s/m_d = 17-22$   
 $\overline{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2 = 3.5^{+0.5}_{-0.2} \text{ MeV}$ 

#### Particle Data Group'18

$$m_d - m_u = 4.7 - 2.2 = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$$

Quark mass difference more important than e.m. effect

Neutrons can decay in protons!

#### *Neutron lifetime experiments*



### QUARKS

The *u*-, *d*-, and *s*-quark masses are estimates of so-called "currentquark masses," in a mass-independent subtraction scheme such as  $\overline{\rm MS}$  at a scale  $\mu\approx 2$  GeV. The *c*- and *b*-quark masses are the "running" masses in the  $\overline{\rm MS}$  scheme. For the *b*-quark we also quote the 1S mass. These can be different from the heavy quark masses obtained in potential models.

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$

$$m_u = 2.2^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \text{ MeV}$$
  
 $m_u/m_d = 0.48^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ 

$$\mathsf{Charge} = \tfrac{2}{3} \ e \quad I_z = +\tfrac{1}{2}$$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$

$$m_d = 4.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3} \text{ MeV}$$
 Charge  $= -\frac{1}{3} e$   $I_z = -\frac{1}{2}$   
 $m_s/m_d = 17-22$   
 $\overline{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2 = 3.5^{+0.5}_{-0.2} \text{ MeV}$ 

#### Particle Data Group'18

$$m_d - m_u = 4.7 - 2.2 = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$$

To determine these fundamental parameters need to know how to disentangle them from QCD treat strong interactions



### QUARKS

The *u*-, *d*-, and *s*-quark masses are estimates of so-called "currentquark masses," in a mass-independent subtraction scheme such as  $\overline{\rm MS}$  at a scale  $\mu\approx 2$  GeV. The *c*- and *b*-quark masses are the "running" masses in the  $\overline{\rm MS}$  scheme. For the *b*-quark we also quote the 1S mass. These can be different from the heavy quark masses obtained in potential models.

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$

$$m_u = 2.2^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \text{ MeV} \ m_u/m_d = 0.48^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$$

~ -

$$Charge = \frac{2}{3} e \quad I_z = +\frac{1}{2}$$

 $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ 

$$m_d = 4.7^{+0.5}_{-0.3} \text{ MeV}$$
 Charge  $= -\frac{1}{3} e$   $I_z = -\frac{1}{2}$   
 $m_s/m_d = 17-22$   
 $\overline{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2 = 3.5^{+0.5}_{-0.2} \text{ MeV}$ 

#### Particle Data Group'18

$$m_d - m_u = 4.7 - 2.2 = 2.5 \text{ MeV}$$

We will come back to the determination of quark mass difference later

### 2.5 Success of the Standard Model and search for New Physics

• Let us consider simplest hadrons: the mesons. They are quark-anti-quark bound states. They interact with strong, electromagnetic and weak forces



- The simplest one is the pion:  $\pi^+: u\overline{d} \ , \ \pi^0: u\overline{u} \text{ or } d\overline{d}$  $\pi^-: \overline{u}d$   $p \longrightarrow \pi^0$ 

The pions mediate strong force in nuclei It is ubiquitous in hadronic collisions

• Let us consider simplest hadrons: the mesons. They are quark-anti-quark bound states. They interact with strong, electromagnetic and weak forces.





### $K^-: \overline{u}s$

Discovered in cosmic ray experiments

- Discovered in 1964 by Christenson, Cronin,
   Nobel Prize in 1980 for Cronin and Fitch
- Start with a  $K^0 \implies$  after some time it transforms into a  $\overline{K}^0$



through weak interaction Short distance effect

- Discovered in 1964 by Christenson, Cronin, Fitch and Turlay
   Nobel Prize in 1980 for Cronin and Fitch
- Start with a  $K^0 \implies$  after some time it transforms into a  $\overline{K}^0$



through weak interaction Short distance effect

• The rate of this oscillation is very suppressed in the Standard Model

 $\implies$  goes through *weak interactions*  $K^{\mathfrak{G}_{\mathsf{F}}}\mathbf{H} K^{0}$ 

• How can we understand the oscillation rate?

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{t}_{i} \mathbf{c}_{i} \mathbf{c}_{i}$$

Einme Passemar

• Since process is suppressed in the Standard Model:



### **Oscillations of B mesons**

•



Similar tests with other mesons  $\implies$  Beauty mesons contain a b-quark

- $B^+: u\overline{b}$ ,  $B^0: d\overline{b}$  $B^-: \overline{u}b$ ,  $\overline{B}^0: \overline{d}b$  $B^0_{s}: s\overline{b}$ ,  $\overline{B}^0_{s}: \overline{s}b$
- $B_c^0: c\overline{b}$ ,  $B_c^0: \overline{c}b$
- B meson physics have been studied extensively at BaBar, Belle, CDF, D0@Tevatron and now Belle-II, LHCb, CMS and ATLAS@LHC

111

### **Oscillations of B mesons**

٠



Similar tests with other mesons  $\implies$  Beauty mesons contain a b-quark

- $B^+: u\overline{b}$ ,  $B^0: d\overline{b}$  $B^-: \overline{u}b$ ,  $\overline{B}^0: \overline{d}b$  $B^0_{s}: s\overline{b}$ ,  $\overline{B}^0_{s}: \overline{s}b$
- $B_c^0: c\overline{b}$ ,  $B_c^0: \overline{c}b$
- B meson physics have been studied extensively at BaBar, Belle, CDF, D0@Tevatron and now Belle-II, LHCb, CMS and ATLAS@LHC

Similar tests with D mesons •

**Emilie Passemar** 

111

# **Oscillations of B mesons**

Similar tests with other mesons •





- B-Bbar measured by *BaBar* and *Belle'01*   $\Delta M_{B_{d}^{0}} = (0.5064 \pm 0.0019) \text{ ps}^{-1}$ Bs-Bsbar mixing observed by *CDF'06* and
- LHCb'11

CP & ofation in B decays ± 0,090 b'13

- $\rightarrow$  CP & Wation (h D7572cb )  $B^{-1}$
- Stringent constraints on new physics models provided had monther that it elements known  $\operatorname{Re}\left(\varepsilon_{B_{d}^{0}}\right) = -0.0010 \pm 0.0008$

**Emilie Passemar** 

V



W. Altmannshofer



# **Anomalies in Flavour Physics**

• Exciting discrepancies found recently:









### **Anomalies in Flavour Physics**

- These anomalies have generated a lot of excitement and theoretical papers to try to explain them using new physics models
- This requires a good understanding of hadronic physics see e.g. Celis, Cirigliano, E.P., Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) 013008, Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) no.9, 095014
- New measurements are planned at ATLAS, CMS (dedicated B physics run) LHCb and Belle II
- Better precision within the next decade 
   match the level of precision
   theoretically with hadronic physics

# 3. Back up

 Let us consider the proton: it is not a fundamental particle, it is made of 3 quarks



### 2.2 Flavour Physics

Description of the weak interactions:



Gra

### Probing the CKM mechanism

- The CKM Mechanism source of *Charge Parity Violation* in SM ۲
- Unitary 3x3 Matrix, parametrizes rotation between mass and weak interaction ۲ eigenstates in Standard Model

$$\begin{pmatrix} d'\\s'\\b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub}\\V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb}\\V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d\\s\\b \end{pmatrix}$$

Weak Eigenstates CKM Matrix

Mass Eigenstates



# 3.1 Probing the CKM mechanism

- The CKM Mechanism source of *Charge Parity Violation* in SM
- Unitary 3x3 Matrix, parametrizes rotation between mass and weak interaction eigenstates in Standard Model

$$\begin{pmatrix} d'\\s'\\b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d'\\s'\\b' \\v td \end{pmatrix} = \bigvee_{\substack{v s \\ V cd \\V td \\v td \\v ts \\v tb \end{pmatrix}}^{Vud} \bigvee_{\substack{u s \\v cb \\V cb \\V tb \\v tb \\v tb \end{pmatrix}}^{Vub} \bigvee_{\substack{u b \\V cb \\V cb \\V tb \\b \end{pmatrix}}^{Vub} \bigcup_{\substack{d \\s \\b \\b \\b \end{pmatrix}}^{d} \begin{pmatrix} d\\s\\b\\b \\b \end{pmatrix}$$

Weak Eigenstates CKM Matrix Mass Eigenstates

- Fully parametrized by **four** parameters if unitarity holds: three real parameters and *one complex phase* that if non-zero results in *CPV*
- Unitarity can be visualized using triangle equations, e.g.

$$V_{CKM}V_{CKM}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{1} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad V_{ub}^*V_{ud} + V_{cb}^*V_{cd} + V_{tb}^*V_{td} = \mathbf{0}$$

Existence of CPV phase established in 2001 by BaBar & Belle

- Picture still holds 15 years later, constrained with remarkable precision
- But: still leaves room for new physics contributions




#### 2.2 Oscillations of Kaons

Similar tests with other mesons





• Stringent constraints on new physics models provided that  $M_{a} \sim m_{a}^{2}/m_{a}^{2} \ll 1$ elements known  $\operatorname{Re}\left(\varepsilon_{B_{d}^{0}}\right) = -0.0010 \pm 0.0008$   $B_{K}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(2\,\text{GeV}) = 0.557 \pm 0.007$  ,  $\hat{B}_{K} = 0.763 \pm 0.010$ 

$$\left(N_f = 2 + 1\right)$$



#### **Flavianet Lattice Averaging Group**

#### $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^- \rightarrow K \pi \mu^+ \mu^-$





 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{K}}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{K}*}$ 



Hadronic uncertainties cancel in the ratio

dilepton opening angle [rad]

# $R(K^*) = B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^- / B \rightarrow K^* e^+ e^-$



distributions of the opening angle between the two leptons, in the four modes in the  $\mathbb{E}_{A}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{A}$  for  $\mathbb{E}_{A}$  for  $\mathbb{E}_{A}$  for  $\mathbb{E}_{K}$ . (Bottom) the  $a_0$  its average value  $\langle r_{J/\psi} 
angle_{12}$  a function of the opening angle.

ar 📥 Belle

each of the wariables examined, no significant trend is observed as a function of the dilepton opening angle and other examples lemental Material [71]. Asstanting the deviations that are observed lelling of the efficiencies, rather than fluctuations, and taking inte the relevant variables in the nonresonant decay modes for interest, omputed for each of the ariables examined. In each or case, the thin the estimated system tic uncertainty on  $R_{K}$ . The  $r_{I/\psi}$  ratio and three-dimensional bins of the considered variables. Again, no viations observed are consistent with the systematic uncertainties Page 14 hown in Fig. S7 in the Supplemental Material [71]. Independent econstruction efficiency using control channels set K(\*)  $\mu^+\mu^-$  intervality (LFU) tresults. Update from LHCb and Belle s to the  $m(K^+\ell^+\ell^-)$  and  $m_{J/\psi}(K^+\ell^+\ell^-)$  distributions are shown 943 ± 40 Biginal Lutato results (ar60) served. A study of the tial branching fraction gives results that are consistent with pre-

ents [12] Rout, Owing and the selection criteria optimised for the ss precise<sub>ema</sub> The  $B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  differential branching fraction



dilepton opening angle fad the mai state particles and does not estimated and the  $R(K^*) \stackrel{\text{to-different electron and muon trigger thresholds. The efficiency ass$ Trigger is determined using simulation and is cross-checked using Bdistributions of the opening angle between  $\mathcal{H}_{he}^+$  two leptons. In the data, by comparing candid four modes in the local exact in the between the hardware trigger to candidates triggered by other angest difference between data and simulation in the ratio of trigger  $q_0 \pm s_4 a_{\rm V} erage$  value  $\langle r_{J/\psi} \rangle \approx a_{\rm H} + \mu_{\rm V} + he$  and  $\beta = 0$  and systematic uncertainty on  $R_K$ . The veto to remove misidentification of a similar dependence on the chosen binning scheme and a systematic u ar 📥 Belle each of the Wariables exaministic do signification trend is observed as a function of the dilepton of the efficiency to reconstruct select and identify an electro lemental Material [71]. Assuming the deviations that are observed for the  $B^+ \to J/\psi (\to \ell^+ \ell^-) F$ lelling of the efficiencies, rather than flugtuations, rud baking inte LHCb the relevant variables in the non-constant dension of  $B^{\text{M}}$  is of  $B^+ \to R^{\text{M}}_{\text{const}} e^{\text{const}} e^{-CDGH} B^{\text{M}}$ omputed for each of the aning lesperately for each each the data and then combine corrected yields for the much densities  $R_{K}$  is measured to have a value of New result on  $R_{\kappa}$  $1.84^{+1.15}_{-0.82}$  (stat)  $\pm 0.04$  (syst) and  $0.61^{+0.47}_{-0.67}$  (stat)  $\pm 0.04$  (syst) for dielect assumed to be uncorrelated and and added in quadrature. Cevin within 2016 data  $\approx^{2.0}$ measurements of  $R_K$  and taking performed ant our correlated uncertainties LHC ficiencies, gives juncertainties cancel in the ratio data, *R<sub>K</sub>* was: 1.5  $R_{K}^{+} \neq \overline{0}, 745_{-0.074}^{+0.090} \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.036 \text{ (syst).}$  $(.) \pm 0.036(syst.),$ The dominant sources of systematic uncertainty are due to the para 1.0 14)151601).  $J/\psi (\rightarrow e^+e^-)K^+$  mass distributed and the including the engine until 2016 (2.5 $\sigma$ ): 3% to the avalue of  $R_K$ . 0.5  $R_{\kappa}$  becomes: The branching fraction of  $B^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-$  is determined in the region of  $B^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^$ by taking the ratio of the branching fractions  $46^{+0.060} + 0.016$   $H^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-$  a 0.0  $(at.) +0.016 \\ -0.014 (syst.)$ decays and multiplying it by the fractioned value of  $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to J/\psi_1 k_5^+)$ 



 $R_D, R_{D*}$ 



#### $R_D$ , $R_{D*}$ : recent update from Belle



#### Leptons decays



# Contribution to $(g-2)_{\mu}$



Need to compute the SM prediction with high precision! *Hadrons enter virtually through loops!* 

### 2.1 Quark masses

• Quark masses fundamental parameters of the QCD Lagrangian

- No direct experimental access to quark masses due to *confinement*!
- Let us consider the proton: it is not a fundamental particle, but a bound state of 3 quarks



Contrary to naïve expectation, most of its mass comes from *strong force* 

Only 1% of its mass comes from the quark masses (Coupling of the quarks to the Higgs boson)

## 2.1 Quark masses

• Quark masses fundamental parameters of the QCD Lagrangian

- No direct experimental access to quark masses due to *confinement*!
- Let us consider the proton: it is not a fundamental particle, but a bound state of 3 quarks



#### 2.6 Why a new dispersive analysis?

- Several new ingredients:
  - New inputs available: extraction  $\pi\pi$  phase shifts has improved

Ananthanarayan et al'01, Colangelo et al'01 Descotes-Genon et al'01 Kaminsky et al'01, Garcia-Martin et al'09

 New experimental programs, precise Dalitz plot measurements *TAPS/CBall-MAMI (Mainz), WASA-Celsius (Uppsala), WASA-Cosy (Juelich) CBall-Brookhaven, CLAS, GlueX (JLab), KLOE I-II (Frascati) BES III (Beijing)*

- Many improvements needed in view of very precise data: inclusion of
  - Electromagnetic effects (O(e<sup>2</sup>m)) Ditsche, Kubis, Meissner'09
  - Isospin breaking effects

#### 2.7 Method



#### 2.7 Method

- S-channel partial wave decomposition  $(\theta_s)f_J(s)$  $A_{\lambda}(s,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2J+1)d_{\lambda,0}^J(\theta_s)A_J(s)$   $A_{\lambda}(s,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2J+1)d_{\lambda,0}^J(\theta_s)f_J(s)$
- One truncates the partial wave expansion

$$\begin{split} A_{\lambda}(s,t) &= \sum_{J}^{J_{\max}} (2J+1) d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s}) f_{J}(s) \\ & A_{\lambda}^{J}(s,t) = \sum_{J} (2J+1) d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s}) f_{J}(s) \\ &+ \sum_{J} (2J+1) d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{t}) f_{J}(t) \\ & A_{\lambda}^{J}(s,t) = \sum_{J} (2J+1) d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s}) f_{J}(s) \\ &+ \sum_{J} (2J + \sum_{J}^{J_{\max}}) d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s}) f_{J}(u) \\ &+ \sum_{J} (2J + \sum_{J}^{J_{\max}}) d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s}) \\ &$$



 $\theta_s, s \mid \theta_t, t$ 



ν α Σ 눩 Isob



• Use a Khuri-Treiman approach or distribution Restore 3 body unitarity and tak in a systematic way

### 2.8 Representation of the amplitude

• Decomposition of the amplitude as a function of isospin states

$$M(s,t,u) = M_0(s) + (s-u)M_1(t) + (s-t)M_1(u) + M_2(t) + M_2(u) - \frac{2}{3}M_2(s)$$

Fuchs, Sazdjian & Stern'93 Anisovich & Leutwyler'96

- $\succ$   $M_I$  isospin *I* rescattering in two particles
- > Amplitude in terms of S and P waves  $\implies$  exact up to NNLO ( $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ )
- Main two body rescattering corrections inside M<sub>1</sub>



#### 2.8 Representation of the amplitude

• **Decomposition** of the amplitude as a function of isospin states

$$M(s,t,u) = M_0(s) + (s-u)M_1(t) + (s-t)M_1(u) + M_2(t) + M_2(u) - \frac{2}{3}M_2(s)$$

• Unitarity relation:

$$disc\left[M_{\ell}^{I}(s)\right] = \rho(s)t_{\ell}^{*}(s)\left(M_{\ell}^{I}(s) + \hat{M}_{\ell}^{I}(s)\right)$$

• Relation of dispersion to reconstruct the amplitude everywhere:

$$M_{I}(s) = \Omega_{I}(s) \left( \frac{P_{I}(s) + \frac{s^{n}}{\pi} \int_{4M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'^{n}} \frac{\sin \delta_{I}(s') \hat{M}_{I}(s')}{|\Omega_{I}(s')| (s' - s - i\varepsilon)}} \right) \qquad \left[ \Omega_{I}(s) = \exp\left(\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{4M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\delta_{I}(s')}{s'(s' - s - i\varepsilon)}\right) \right]$$
Omnès function

Gasser & Rusetsky'18

P<sub>I</sub>(s) determined from a fit to NLO ChPT + experimental Dalitz plot

#### 2.9 $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$ Dalitz plot

In the charged channel: experimental data from WASA, KLOE, BESIII



#### 2.10 Results: Amplitude for $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays

• The amplitude along the line s = u :



#### 2.10 Results: Amplitude for $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays

• The amplitude along the line t = u :



#### 2.11 Z distribution for $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ decays

• The amplitude squared in the neutral channel is



**Emilie Passemar** 

#### 2.12 Comparison of results for $\alpha$



#### 2.13 Quark mass ratio



No systematics taken into account  $\rightarrow$  collaboration with experimentalists •



• Smaller values for  $Q \implies$  smaller values for  $m_s/m_d$  and  $m_u/m_d$  than LO ChPT

# 2.14 Light quark masses



#### Formulation of QCD

#### **Dynamics: The Lagrangien**

• Build all the invariants under  $SU(3)_c$  with the quarks

• Gauge the theory:  $SU(3)_{C} \rightarrow local \implies \theta_{a} \rightarrow \theta_{a}(x)$  $\implies$  8 different independent gauge fields:  $G_{\mu}^{a}$  the *gluons* QQQQ

$$\partial_{\mu}q_{k} \rightarrow D_{\mu}q_{k} \equiv \left[\partial_{\mu}-ig_{s}\frac{\lambda_{a}}{2}G_{\mu}^{a}(x)\right]q_{k}$$

$$G_{\mu}(x)$$

# 1.4 Strong interaction

 Looking for new physics in hadronic processes 

not direct access to quarks due to confinement



# **Dispersive** approach

• Dispersion Relations: extrapolate ChPT at higher energies



 Important corrections in the physical region taken care of by the dispersive treatment!

#### Method



#### Method

S-channel partial  $A_{\lambda}$  is  $\underline{decomposition}_{\lambda,0}(\theta_s)f_J(s)$  $_{_{3}} heta_{s},s^{} heta_{t},t$  $\begin{array}{l} A_{\lambda}(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (2J+1) d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s}) A_{J}(s) \\ A_{\lambda}(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (2J+1) d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s}) f_{J}(s) \end{array}$ One truncates the partial wave expansion 📥 Isob  $J_{\max}$  $A_{\lambda}(s,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2J+1)d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s})f_{J}(s)$  $\theta_t, t$  $A_{\lambda}^{J}(s,t) = \sum_{I} (2J+1)d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s})f_{J}(s)$  $heta_s, s$ +  $\sum (2J+1)d^J_{\lambda,0}(\theta_t)f_J(t)$  $A_{\lambda}^{J}(s,t) = \sum_{J}^{\max} (2J+1)d_{\lambda,0}^{J}(\theta_{s})f_{J}(s)$  $J_{\max}$  $+ \sum_{I} (2J \stackrel{J_{\text{mail}}}{\xrightarrow{}} dJ \stackrel{J_{\text{mail}}}{\xrightarrow{} dJ \stackrel{J_{\text{mail}}}{\xrightarrow{}} dJ \stackrel{J_{\text{mail}}}{\xrightarrow{}} dJ \stackrel{J_{\text{ma$ 0.8 Use a Khuri-Treiman approach or dis Restore 3 body unitarity and tak  $M_{\phi}^2$ M<sup>2</sup> in a systematic way 0.6  $M^2$ <sup>- 2</sup> ۳ <sup>0</sup> ۳ <sup>0</sup> **Emilie Passemar** 

#### Representation of the amplitude

• Decomposition of the amplitude as a function of isospin states

$$M(s,t,u) = M_0(s) + (s-u)M_1(t) + (s-t)M_1(u) + M_2(t) + M_2(u) - \frac{2}{3}M_2(s)$$

Fuchs, Sazdjian & Stern'93 Anisovich & Leutwyler'96

- >  $M_I$  isospin *I* rescattering in two particles
- > Amplitude in terms of S and P waves  $\implies$  exact up to NNLO ( $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ )
- Main two body rescattering corrections inside M<sub>1</sub>

#### Representation of the amplitude

• Decomposition of the amplitude as a function of isospin states

$$M(s,t,u) = M_0(s) + (s-u)M_1(t) + (s-t)M_1(u) + M_2(t) + M_2(u) - \frac{2}{3}M_2(s)$$

• Unitarity relation:

$$disc\left[M_{\ell}^{I}(s)\right] = \rho(s)t_{\ell}^{*}(s)\left(M_{\ell}^{I}(s) + \hat{M}_{\ell}^{I}(s)\right)$$

• Relation of dispersion to reconstruct the amplitude everywhere:

$$M_{I}(s) = \Omega_{I}(s) \left( \frac{P_{I}(s) + \frac{s^{n}}{\pi} \int_{4M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'^{n}} \frac{\sin \delta_{I}(s') \hat{M}_{I}(s')}{|\Omega_{I}(s')| (s' - s - i\varepsilon)}} \right) \qquad \left[ \Omega_{I}(s) = \exp\left(\frac{s}{\pi} \int_{4M_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\delta_{I}(s')}{s'(s' - s - i\varepsilon)}\right) \right]$$
Omnès function

Gasser & Rusetsky'18

P<sub>I</sub>(s) determined from a fit to NLO ChPT + experimental Dalitz plot

## $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$ Dalitz plot

• In the charged channel: experimental data from WASA, KLOE, BESIII


## Which value of $Q^2$ impact neutrino data?

- \* The experimental results point towards a larger value of the axial form factor  $M_A \sim 1.35 \text{ GeV}$
- \* If true, the value of M<sub>A</sub> saturates the cross section leaving little room for multi nucleon effects
- \* Is the dipole physically motivated?

$$F_{A}(q^{2}) = \frac{F_{A}(0)}{\left(1 - \frac{q^{2}}{M_{A}^{2}}\right)^{2}}$$

The parametrisation has an impact on different q<sup>2</sup> dependence ranges on the neutrino data

## Improving the Form Factor parametrization

- \* For intermediate energy region: Can try to use *VMD* 
  - *Analytical structure* of FF (e.g. F<sub>1</sub> or F<sub>A</sub>)



• Resonances (Vector Mesons)

P, ω P: ISO VECTOR W: ISO SCALAR Photon or W sees proton through all hadronic states (with vector or axial-vector Quantum Number)

Processes in unphysical region t < 4  $m_N^2$ 

For F<sub>A</sub> (Axial Vector Mesons) a<sub>1</sub>(1230) and a<sub>1</sub>'(1647) *Masjuan et al.*'12

$$F_A(t) = g_A \frac{m_{a_1}^2 m_{a_1'}^2}{(m_{a_1}^2 - t)(m_{a_1'}^2 - t)}$$

## Improving the Form Factor parametrization

\* For intermediate energy region: Can try to use *VMD*, e.g. EM FF





 $F_i(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} F_i(t')}{t' - t - i0}$ 

Use spectral function from theory or from experiment



## Improving the Form Factor parametrization



V